

Erratum

DOI 10.1007/s00712-006-0183-9

Non-convex Technologies and Cost Functions: Definitions, Duality and Nonparametric Tests of Convexity (*Journal of Economics* 81(2): 155–192)

Walter Briec, Kristiaan Kerstens
and Philippe Vanden Eeckaut

Received December 22, 2005
Published online: April 5, 2006
© Springer-Verlag 2006

There is a minor typo on page 168 in line 2 of the proof of Lemma 1. The statement should read:

Equivalently, we get $\delta \leq \min_{n \in I(x_k)} \left(\frac{x_n}{x_{kn}} \right)$ and $\delta \geq \max_{m \in J(y_k)} \left(\frac{y_m}{y_{km}} \right)$ for $\delta \in \Gamma$.

There is a typographical error on the same page 168 in the closed form expressions for the radial input efficiency measure $E_i(x, y)$. The statement should read:

Proposition 2: $E_i(x, y)$ on non-convex technologies $T^{NC, \Gamma}$ is computed:

$$E_i(x, y) = \begin{cases} \text{(i)} \quad \min_{(x_k, y_k) \in B(x, y, \Gamma)} \left\{ \max_{n \in I(x_k)} \left(\frac{x_{kn}}{x_n} \right) \right\} & \text{for } \Gamma = VRS \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \min_{(x_k, y_k) \in B(x, y, \Gamma)} \left\{ \max_{m \in J(y_k)} \left(\frac{y_m}{y_{km}} \right) \cdot \max_{n \in I(x_k)} \left(\frac{x_{kn}}{x_n} \right) \right\} \\ & \text{for } \Gamma \in \{CRS, NIRS\} \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \min_{(x_k, y_k) \in B(x, y, \Gamma)} \left\{ \max \left(\max_{m \in J(y_k)} \left(\frac{y_m}{y_{km}} \right), 1 \right) \cdot \max_{n \in I(x_k)} \left(\frac{x_{kn}}{x_n} \right) \right\} \\ & \text{for } \Gamma = NDRS. \end{cases}$$

The same holds true in the proof of Proposition 2 on page 185, lines 3–4. It should read: since $x \geq x_k$, clearly $x_{kn} > 0 \Rightarrow x_n > 0$. Thus,

$$\max_{n \in I(x_k)} \left(\frac{x_{kn}}{x_n} \right) = \left[\min_{n \in I(x_k)} \left(\frac{x_n}{x_{kn}} \right) \right]^{-1}. \text{ Consequently, } E_i(x, y | S^{SD, \Gamma}(x_k, y_k)) = \min \left\{ \lambda : \lambda \geq \left[\min_{n \in I(x_k)} \left(\frac{x_n}{x_{kn}} \right) \right]^{-1}, \quad y_k \geq y \right\}.$$

Finally, on page 181, the second part of expression (10) must read:

$$AE_i^C(x, y, p) \begin{matrix} > \\ \equiv \\ < \end{matrix} AE_i^{NC}(x, y, p).$$

Addresses of authors: – Walter Briec, GEREM, Université de Perpignan, 54 Avenue Villeneuve, 66000, Perpignan, France, (e-mail: briec@univ-perp.fr) – Kristiaan Kerstens CNRS-LEM, IESEG, 3 rue de la Digue, 59800, Lille Cédex, France (e-mail: k.kerstens@ieseg.fr) – Philippe Vanden Eeckaut GREMARS, Université Lille 3, Domaine Universitaire du “Pont de Bois”, BP 149, 59653, Villeneuve d’Ascq Cédex, France (e-mail: philippe.vandeneeckaut@univ-lille3.fr)